ECONOMICS CLASS XII
Chapter-1

Indian Economy on the Eve of Independence

Q.1. What was the focus of the economic policies pursued by the colonial government in India? What were the impacts of these policies?

Ans.1 The main focus of the economic policies pursued by the colonial government was to make India a mere supplier of Britain’s own flourishing industrial base. The policies were concerned mainly with the fortification and advancement for their home country. The interests of the Indian economy were completely ignored. Such policies brought structural changes in the Indian economy by transforming it to a supplier of raw materials and consumer of finished products from Britain. The impacts of these policies are discussed as follows in detail:

i. Low Economic Development

Throughout the British rule, Indian economy experienced very low level of economic development. As per some researches, Indian economy grew at even less than 2 % during 1900-50. The reason for such a low level of development was that the British government was more concerned with the promotion of economic interests of their home country. Consequently, the colonial rule transformed India’s agriculture sector to a mere supplier of raw materials for the British industries. This not only affected the production of the agricultural sector but also ruined the small manufacturing units like handicrafts and cotton industries. These units faced a stiff competition from the British machine made textiles and handlooms.

ii. Backwardness of Indian Agriculture

Under the colonial rule, India was basically an agrarian economy employing nearly 85% of its population. Nevertheless, the growth of the agriculture sector was meager. This was due to the prevalence of various systems of Land Settlement, particularly Zamindari system. Under this system, the zamindars (owners of land) were required to pay very high revenue (lagaan) to the British government, which they used to collect from the peasants (landless labourers, who were actually cultivating). The zamindars were mainly concerned with extracting high revenues from the peasants but never took any steps to improve the productivity of the land.
Moreover, in order to feed British industries with cheap raw materials, the Indian peasants were forced to grow cash crops (such as, indigo, cotton, etc.) instead of food crops (such as, rice and wheat). This commercialization of agriculture not only increased the burden of high revenues on the poor peasants but also led India to face shortage of food grains. Therefore, Indian agriculture remained backward and primitive.

**iii. Deindustrialization of Indian Economy**

India failed to develop a sound and strong industrial base during the colonial rule. The status of industrial sector during the British rule can be well defined by the term ‘systematic de-industrialization’. The cause of deindustrialization can be attributed to the downfall of India’s handicraft industry and the cause of bleak growth of modern industry was the lack of investment. On one hand, the British government imposed heavy tariffs on the export of Indian handicraft products and on the other hand, allowed free exports of Indian raw materials to Britain and free imports of British products to India. As a result of the heavy tariffs, the Indian exports became costlier and its demand in the international market fell drastically that led to the collapse of Indian handicrafts industries. Simultaneously the demand for the handicrafts products also fell in the domestic markets due to stiff competition from the machine made textiles of Britain. As a result, the domestic industries lacked investment and growth initiatives.

**iv. Restriction on Foreign Trade**

During the colonial rule, the British government owned the monopoly power over India’s foreign trade. The British government used the trade policy according to the interests of their home country. The exports and imports transactions were restricted only to India and Britain and with some neighboring countries. On one hand, the exports from India provided the cheap raw materials to the British industries and on the other hand, India’s imports from Britain provided a untouched market for Britain’s products. In either ways, British industries were benefitted. Moreover, the surplus generated from the foreign trade was not invested in the Indian economy; instead it was used in administrative and war purposes by Britain to spread their colonial power.

Q.2. Name some notable economists who estimated India’s per capita income during the colonial period.

Ans.2 As the British government was never interested in upliftment of our country, so they never took any initiative to measure India’s national and per capita income. Though some of the economists tried to estimate India’s national income and per capita income during the colonial rule, but the results are mixed and conflicting. The following are some of the notable economists who were engaged in estimation of India’s national and per capita income:
i. Dadabhai Naroji
ii. William Digbay
iii. Findlay Shirraz
iv. V.K.R.V Rao
v. R.C. Desai

Out of these, V.K.R.V Rao's estimates are considered to be significant. Most of these studies revealed that Indian economy grew at even less than 2% during 1900-50 with half per cent growth in per capita output per year.

Q.3. What were the main causes of India’s agricultural stagnation during the colonial period?

Ans.3 Under the colonial rule, India was basically an agrarian economy, employing nearly 85% of its population. Nevertheless, the growth of the agriculture sector was meager. The following are the causes explaining stagnancy in Indian agriculture sector during the colonial rule:

i. Introduction of Land Revenue System
This was due to prevalence of various systems of Land Settlement, particularly Zamindari system. This system was introduced by Lord Cornwallis in Bengal in 1793. Under this system, the zamindars (owners of land) were required to pay very high revenue (lagaan) to the British government, which they used to collect from the peasants (landless labourers, who were actually cultivating). The zamindars were mainly concerned with extracting high revenues from the peasants but never took any steps to improve the productivity of the land. This resulted in low agricultural productivity and worsened the peasants economically.

ii. Forceful Commercialization
Initially before the British rule, the farmers were practicing conventional subsistence farming. They used to grow crops like rice and wheat for their own consumption. But afterwards, in order to feed British industries with cheap raw materials, the Indian farmers were forced to grow commercial crops (like indigo required by British industries to dye textiles) instead of food crops (like rice and wheat). This led to the commercialization of Indian agriculture. This commercialization of Indian agriculture not only increased the burden of high revenues on the poor farmers but also led India to face shortage of food grains. Therefore, Indian agriculture remained backward and stagnant.

iii. Lack of Irrigation Facilities and Resources
Besides the above factors, Indian agricultural sector also faced lack of irrigation facilities, insignificant use of fertilizers, lack of investment, frequent famines and other natural calamities, etc. that further exaggerated the agricultural performance and made it more vulnerable.
Q.4. Name some modern industries which were in operation in our country at the time of independence.

Ans.4 The second half of the nineteenth century witnessed the emergence of modern industries. At the initial stage, development was confined to setting up of cotton and jute textile mills. The western parts of the country Maharashtra and Gujarat was the hub for cotton textile mills which were mainly dominated by the Indians whereas the jute industries were mainly concentrated in Bengal and were dominated by the British. In the beginning of the 20thcentury, Iron and steel industries also started emerging gradually. It was incorporated in 1907(T.I.S.CO) Some other industries that were operating at a smaller scale during the British era were sugar industry, cement industry and paper industry.

Q.5. What was the two-fold motive behind the systematic deindustrialization of India?

Ans.5 The following are the two-fold motives behind the systematic deindustrialization affected by the British:

i. **Making India a Supplier of Raw Materials:** The main motive of the British government was to make India a mere supplier of cheap raw materials to feed its own flourishing industrial base.

ii. **Making India a Market for Finished Goods:** Another important objective of the British government was to use India as a virgin market to sell the finished goods produced by the British industries.

Q.6. The traditional handicrafts industries were ruined under the British rule. Do you agree with this view? Give reasons in support of your answer.

Ans.6 Yes, we do agree with the above statement that the traditional handicrafts industries were ruined under the British times. The following are the reasons in favour of the statement:

i. **Discriminatory Tariff Policy:** The British rule in India corresponded with its industrialization. The British rule used India both as a source of cheap raw materials as well as easy accessible market for their finished products. Thereby, they imposed heavy tariffs (export duties) on India’s export of handicraft products, while allowed free export of India’s raw material to Britain and free import of British products into India. This made Indian exports costlier and its international demand fell drastically leading to the collapse of handicrafts industries.

ii. **Competition from Machine made Britain Goods:** The demand for the handicrafts products experienced a downward trend in the domestic markets
as well. This was due to stiff competition from the machine made products from Britain. This was because of the reason that the goods produced mechanically in Britain were comparatively cheaper and of superior quality than the Indian handicraft goods. This narrowed the market for Indian industries.

**iii. Emergence of New Class:** The British rule in India popularized western lifestyle in India. There was an emergence of a new section of population (consisting mainly of zamindars) in India who liked the British goods. This section used to spend lavishly on the British products that provided impetus for the development of British industries at the cost of the domestic industries. Hence, gradually Indian industries perished away.

**iv. Disappearance of Princely State:** Prior to the advent of British, India was ruled by princely states. They used to patronize handicrafts industries and consequently, Indian handicrafts gained reputation in the international markets. But during the British rule, these princely states were ruined thereby ruining the protection of these handicrafts industries. Thus, gradually Indian handicrafts lost its reputation and its importance deteriorated.

**Q.7. What objectives did the British intend to achieve through their policies of infrastructure development in India?**

**Ans.7** One cannot deny the fact that under the British rule, there was significant change in the infrastructural development in the country. But the real motive of the British rule behind the infrastructure development was only to serve their own colonial interests. There was infrastructural development in the fields of transport and communication. The roads served the purpose of facilitating transportation of raw materials from different parts of the country to ports, and ports were developed for easy and fast exports to and imports from Britain. Similarly, railways were introduced and developed for the transportation of finished goods of British industries to the interiors of India. Railways assisted British industries to widen the market for their finished products. Post and telegraphs were developed to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the British administration. Hence, the aim of infrastructural development was not the growth and development of the Indian economy but to serve their own interest.

**Q.8. Critically appraise some of the shortfalls of the industrial policy pursued by the British colonial administration.**

**Ans.8** The focus of the industrial policies pursued by the colonial government in India was to make our country a mere supplier of Britain’s own flourishing industrial base. The policies were concerned mainly with the advancement for their own country. The industrial policy pursued by the British colonial administration has the following shortfalls:
i. **Neglect of Indian Handicraft Industries:** The British followed a discriminatory tariff policy under which they imposed heavy tariffs (export duties) on India’s export of handicraft products while allowed free export of India’s raw material to Britain and free import of British products to India. This made Indian exports costlier and its international demand fell drastically leading to the collapse of handicrafts industries. Also, Indian handicrafts faced a stiff competition from machine made textiles of Britain. The emergence of a new section of people who liked the British goods more in comparison to the domestic goods encouraged British industries at the cost of Indian industries. This led to the declining demand for Indian products and encouraged foreign products.

ii. **Lack of Investment in Indian Industries:** The modern industries in India demanded investments in capital goods that were beyond the means of Indian investors. On the other hand, British government was least interested in investing in Indian industries. Thus, due to the lack of sufficient investment, the growth of Indian industries was acutely constrained.

**Q.9. What do you understand by the drain of Indian wealth during the colonial period?**

**Ans.** Dadabhai Naroji advocated the theory of ‘Drain of Wealth’ in the 19th century. The colonial period was marked by the exploitation of Indian resources. The sole motive of Britain to conquer India was to own a perennial source of cheap raw materials to feed its own industrial base in Britain. Further, British government used India’s manpower to spread its colonial base outside India. Also, the administrative expenses that were incurred by the British government to manage the colonial rule in India were borne by Indian Exchequer. Thus, the British rule drained out Indian wealth for the fulfillment of its own interests.

**Q.10. Which is regarded as the defining year to mark the demographic transition from its first to the second decisive stage?**

**Ans.** The year 1921 is regarded as the defining year or the ‘Year of Great Divide’ because prior to 1921, population growth in India was never consistent. India was in the first phase of demographic transition till 1921 that was characterised by high birth rate and high death rate. It implies low survival rate (or low life expectancy), Therefore, the period before 1921 witnessed stagnant population growth rate. After 1921, India’s population growth never declined and showed a consistent upward trend.